

**National Academy of Engineering (NAE)  
Grand Challenges Scholars Program (GSCP)**

**Proposing a GCSP at Your School**

Revised April 2019

<b>PART I: General overview of the National Academy of Engineering Grand Challenges and the Grand Challenges Scholars Programs</b>	pg. 1
<b>PART II: GCSP Proposal Template without Descriptions</b>	pg. 4
<b>PART III: GCSP Proposal Template with Descriptions</b>	pg. 5
<b>PART IV: NAE GCSP Proposal Review Committee</b>	pg. 11

**PART I: General overview of the National Academy of Engineering Grand Challenges and the Grand Challenges Scholars Programs**

A. National Academy of Engineering Grand Challenges

Identifying relevant problems and designing creative solutions to the problems, identified by NAE as “Grand Challenges,” is the DNA of engineering. Solutions to the most significant problems confronting humanity today have to be more than just practical and cost effective; they must address complex and interrelated factors that are deeply imbedded within cultural, political, social, ethical, and economic contexts. The new engineer has to be mindful of the intended value, unintended impacts and context-specific challenges of an endeavor, especially where society is most vulnerable.

The National Academy of Engineering (NAE) Grand Challenges for Engineering, announced in 2008, is a cross-disciplinary initiative whose vision is the “Continuation of life on the planet, making our world more sustainable, healthy, secure and joyful.” For the sake of simplicity, the fourteen Grand Challenges for Engineering (<http://www.engineeringchallenges.org/>) can be grouped under the four larger Themes:

Sustainability Grand Challenge Theme:

- (1) Make solar energy economical
- (2) Provide energy from fusion
- (3) Develop carbon sequestration methods
- (4) Manage the nitrogen cycle
- (5) Provide access to clean water

Health Grand Challenge Theme:

- (6) Advance health informatics
- (7) Engineer better medicines

Security Grand Challenge Theme:

- (8) Prevent nuclear terror
- (9) Secure cyberspace
- (10) Restore and improve urban infrastructure

Joy of Living Grand Challenge Theme:

- (11) Reverse-engineer the brain
- (12) Enhance virtual reality
- (13) Advance personalized learning
- (14) Engineer the tools of scientific discovery

These are ambitious goals that will require a new generation of engineers, scientists, social scientists, politicians, and artists who will collectively:

- Create new capabilities,
- Provide pragmatic solutions for basic human needs,
- Develop new entrepreneurial opportunities,
- Reinvent human interactions,
- Transform systems thinking,
- Be the architects of a sustainable society,
- Be mindful of unintended consequences,
- Connect technology with society,
- Interact with non-engineering partners,
- Design and implement context-sensitive solutions.

The Grand Challenges for Engineering initiative has two components: *Engineering System* and *Talent Building*. The *Engineering System* component is comprised of the specific Grand Challenges. The *Talent Building* component is the Grand Challenges Scholars Program (GCSP), which prepares the workforce to undertake the *Engineering System* component.

B. Grand Challenges Scholars Program (GCSP)

At the NAE Grand Challenges Summit held at Duke University in March 2009 (<http://summit-grand-challenges.pratt.duke.edu/>), a consortium of founding institutions – Duke University’s Pratt School of Engineering, The Franklin W. Olin College of Engineering, and the University of Southern California’s Viterbi School of Engineering – jointly announced the NAE Grand Challenges Scholars Program (GCSP) to educate engineering students who will play leading roles in addressing the NAE Grand Challenges:

<http://engineeringchallenges.org/GrandChallengeScholarsProgram.aspx>.

The Grand Challenges Scholars Program was inspired by the NAE Grand Challenges for Engineering and reflects the rapidly evolving nature of engineering education. In this GCSP, the NAE does not dictate an engineering curriculum to any university. The GCSP simply identifies five competencies that a student must achieve to prepare them to address the Grand Challenges for Engineering found globally. Each prospective GCSP institution defines its supplemental approach to educating students about and supporting students' development in five competencies. In brief, the five GCSP student competencies are:

- **Talent Competency:** Mentored research or creative experience on a Grand Challenge-like topic

- **Multicultural Competency:** understanding different cultures, preferably through multicultural experiences, to ensure cultural acceptance of proposed engineering solutions

- **Multidisciplinary Competency:** Understanding multidisciplinary of engineering systems solutions developed through personal engagement

- **Viable Business/Entrepreneurship Competency:** Understanding, preferably developed through experience, of the necessity of viable business model for solution implementation

- **Social Consciousness Competency:** Understanding that the engineering solutions should primarily serve people and society reflecting social consciousness

Addressing the NAE Grand Challenges will require the efforts and talents of many graduates educated in a range of engineering and non-engineering disciplines, the majority of whom are currently high school age or younger.

The GCSP is not based on a specific curriculum but rather on expanding the mindsets and skillsets of students so they can make sense of, connect to and apply engineering skills to global, engineering system problems, i.e., Grand Challenge-like problems. It has the qualities of a movement rather than a project, where inspiration and engagement are driven by the power of the idea, while local administrative decisions are made in accordance with the local practices of each university.

For this movement to grow and thrive, it will be critical for GCSPs across the nation and the world to connect with each other, collaborate, and engage truly diverse students. Therefore, the explicit objective of the GCSP is to develop a world-wide network of Grand Challenges Scholars Programs within participating colleges and universities. The goal of each institutional program is to prepare students for the multicultural, multidisciplinary, entrepreneurial, socially-conscious global

engagement needed for 21<sup>st</sup> century engineering, through an educational supplement that is adaptable to any university anywhere in the world.

### C. Joining the Global Network of GCSPs

Schools interested in starting a GCSP should visit the [GCSP website](#) and select the [Join the GCSP](#) tab on the left. The first step to develop a new program or to get more information is to contact the GCSP Network Office by submitting an interest form available at [GET IN TOUCH!](#) The GCSP Network Office can connect you to resources and a GCSP Committee mentor to answer questions and help you prepare your GCSP proposal. Schools are strongly urged to secure a mentor to assist in proposal preparation.

The [Join the GCSP](#) page includes the operational document templates for [4-Year Schools](#) and [2-Year Schools](#), as well as [Operational Documents](#) of existing programs. Then email your operational document proposal to Katie Evans ([kevans@latech.edu](mailto:kevans@latech.edu)) or Rama Ramakrishna ([rramakrishna@nae.edu](mailto:rramakrishna@nae.edu)) for review by the GCSP Proposal Review Committee, which will provide feedback for improvement. We will assist you to tailor your proposal to the needs and strengths of your institution. Then you are officially a member of the NAE GCSP network!

Programs approved for GCSP designation will become an essential part of the NAE Grand Challenges network of engineering schools. Member institutions will have access to an online community of GCSPs as well as workshops and programs intended to support the development and ongoing work of the GCS community. These electronic and face-to-face networks will allow GCS program directors, faculty, staff, and students to exchange ideas and research progress and to present best practices to further refine the program. In addition, they will assist in the creation of new networks and communities of practice that will in turn increase access to and awareness of funding opportunities, post-graduate opportunities for students, etc.

## **PART II: GCSP Proposal Template without Descriptions**

### A. Cover Page.

### B. GCSP Vision, Mission, and Goals.

### C. Essential Elements of GCSP.

1. Grand Challenges Scholar Recruitment and Selection.
2. GCSP Experiences.
  - a. **Talent Competency**
  - b. **Multicultural Competency**
  - c. **Multidisciplinary Competency**
  - d. **Viable Business / Entrepreneurship Competency**
  - e. **Social Consciousness Competency**

3. Thematic Continuity and Connectivity
4. Programmatic and Individual Student Assessment
5. Institutional GCSP Governance and Sustainability
6. Mentorship for GCSP Faculty and Students
7. Student Recognition

D. Unique Aspects (if applicable)

E. Other (if applicable)

### **PART III: GCSP Proposal Template with Descriptions**

A. Cover Page.

The cover page must include the name of the candidate school, name and signature of the engineering dean, name and signature of the GCSP director, and the GCSP director contact information (name, title, email, phone number). Note that the director will be the primary contact between your program and the national GCSP.

B. GCSP Vision, Mission, and Goals.

Each proposal must contain general statements about the vision and mission for the proposed GCSP, showing alignment and consistency with the vision and mission of the Institution and College. Programmatic goals must be included with an explanation of how they fit with the institutional and contextual values and mission. This section should also mention the four themes of the NAE Grand Challenges and if the Institution or College will only support a subset of themes in their GCSP.

C. Essential Elements of GCSP.

Each institutional program must possess the following essential elements of a GCSP, with details of each provided below: (1) recruitment and selection of students; (2) an innovative and institutionally-tailored program that includes a suite of diverse curricular, co- and extra-curricular experiences, as well as multiple paths for completing GCSP requirements; (3) thematic continuity and connectivity in each student's GCSP trajectory; (4) programmatic and individual student assessment; (5) institutional GCSP governance and sustainability; (6) mentorship plan for GCSP faculty and students; and (7) student recognition. No one-size-fits-all program will be imposed, and each GCSP must be inherently flexible to achieve appropriate intellectual breadth, depth and coherence of each student's individual program within their institutional GCSP. It is recommended that proposals include sample trajectories of GC scholars and other concrete evidence about the ways in which the proposed program is designed and will be implemented. It is recommended that the description of the *GCSP Experiences* element of the proposed GCSP includes a summary of the options students have for developing each of the five competencies, such as existing courses and co-curricular and extra-curricular activities at the institution. It may be useful to

include a schematic or a diagram that describes an example of how a student may satisfy all of the program requirements.

1. Grand Challenges Scholar Recruitment and Selection.

*Objective:* To identify, recruit and select a diverse cohort of domestic and international undergraduate students majoring in a variety of disciplines who will be educated to design and create solutions to the NAE Grand Challenges. Each institutional GCSP will determine how student recruitment and selection are best accomplished within the mission and character of the individual school. It is advised that if appropriate, the 4-year schools create a plan for seamless integration of students transferring from community colleges into their GCSP.

The following aspects of the recruitment and selection processes should be discussed in the proposal: student mentorship through the recruitment and selection process, along with the number of students anticipated for admission each year and the characteristics of those students (e.g., majors, year at institution, etc.). It is advised that recruitment processes are consistent with the institutional and contextual values as well as the goals and vision for the program and its diversity. Note that some institutions establish minimum GPA's for participating students while others do not in order to increase the odds of securing a diverse cohort. The programs are encouraged to aim for the goal of producing 20 GCSP graduates per year, as outlined in the White House pledge. (Such a goal may not be practical for smaller institutions and, as such, should not be viewed as an absolute requirement, but rather a goal for those programs that can reasonably achieve it). In general, students selected for a GCSP should be:

- a. in good academic standing as determined by the institution (although individual institutions may want to provide an opportunity for students of lower academic standing who demonstrate promise to join the program as a way of promoting and furthering their motivations – if this is the case, the individual programs must clearly articulate their reasoning),
- b. committed to addressing one or more of the Grand Challenges in their academic and professional endeavors, and
- c. aware and eager to address the importance of social and global issues that Grand Challenges raise.

2. GCSP Experiences.

*Objective:* To design, implement, and integrate the engineering and non-engineering curricular as well as co- and extra-curricular experiences necessary to tackle a Grand Challenge theme or specific Grand Challenge problem. Within the GCSP context, this is achieved through the five GCSP competencies listed below. Substantial flexibility is given in how students demonstrate these five competencies at each institution. This section of the proposal should make clear how students can demonstrate these competencies at the proposing institution. As appropriate, proposals should

include details about curricular and co-curricular requirements and options for demonstrating the five competencies. In cases where students have options for how particular competencies are demonstrated, institutions should make available options of comparable time commitment and engagement to ensure fairness and communicate the minimum activity level required to demonstrate the competency. Regardless of the individual program design, each individual GC Scholar's trajectory must be deep, broad and coherent in terms of its connection to an NAE Grand Challenge theme. Additionally, mechanisms should be in place to support and mentor each student as well as track and assess each student's performance on the individual GCSP competencies. Students should demonstrate how the depth and length of time of their experience(s) support fulfilling competencies. Well-designed and well-documented meta-curricular experiences could contain aspects that satisfy more than one of the competencies the program aims to develop (e.g., experiences related to entrepreneurial, multicultural and social consciousness competencies lend themselves nicely to design and implementation of such experiences). More specifically, the five GCSP student competencies are:

- a. **Talent Competency:** This is a creative technical competency, development of which requires mentored project or research experience. Each GC Scholar must participate in a substantial team or independent project relating to a Grand Challenge theme or specific challenge. Some examples of the experiences that may support student development of the Talent Competency are: participation in formal undergraduate research programs, senior theses, on-site internships, or capstone design projects.
- b. **Multicultural Competency:** Multicultural awareness is necessary for working effectively in an increasingly interdependent world. Students may participate in curricular or extra-curricular experiences that help students develop skills and attributes necessary for continued innovations in a global economy and address ethical issues of global concern. While an experience abroad lends itself more organically to development of multicultural competency, students may also choose to participate in a domestic experience that focuses on global or cross-/multi-cultural implications of a GC theme or problem. Some examples of the experiences that may allow students to develop this competency are: completion of formal coursework relevant to multicultural competency development; participation in global internships or those that emphasize global nature of engineering work; conducting research in global health or global environmental challenges, etc.
- c. **Multidisciplinary Competency:** Bridging engineering to other disciplines is essential for solving the NAE Grand Challenges. An overall curricular as well as co- and extra-curricular program must be designed to prepare students to work at the boundary between various engineering and non-engineering disciplines, such as public policy, international relations, business, law, ethics, human behavior, risk,

medicine, the natural sciences, arts, etc. Each GCSP should have an institutionally tailored mechanism that thematically draws together the engineering and non-engineering curricular aspects of each student's course of study. In other words, if a student chooses to develop this competency through a double-major or a minor in a non-engineering discipline, this choice must be intentional in weaving in a GC theme or problem. Some examples of relevant experiences include: participation in an explicitly interdisciplinary course or a GCSP seminar series.

- d. **Viable Business / Entrepreneurship Competency:** Implementing innovation is central to technology development. Each GC scholar must participate in a curricular or co-/extra-curricular experience that involves the process of translating invention and innovation into a viable business model for solution implementation or a market venture. This may be either risk-taking ventures for business or introducing technology for not-for-profits in the public interest. Examples of relevant experiences that students may choose to participate in include: submittal of an invention disclosure; participation in a start-up competition, a course in entrepreneurship; application for a patent or other form of intellectual property. In addition, students might elect to participate in an engineering entrepreneurship program such as VentureWell's University Innovation Fellows Program.
- e. **Social Consciousness Competency:** Working for the benefit of others is the foundation of a civil society. Students may participate in a curricular or extra-curricular experience that deepens their social awareness and heightens their motivation to bring their technical expertise to bear on societal problems. For example, students may choose to develop this competency through some of the following experiences: completion of formal classes in social action; participation in internships for global service organizations such as Engineering World Health or Engineers Without Borders; participation in curricular service-learning experiences like Engineering Projects in Community Service (EPICS); conducting research that focuses on improving the human condition; significant meaningful participation in an institution's community service or tutoring program. The number of hours expected for completing this competency will vary with the intensity of the activity, but it is expected to be substantial.

### 3. Thematic Continuity and Connectivity.

*Objective:* To ensure that a Grand Challenge theme or a specific Grand Challenge problem is intentionally imbedded across and within each of the five GCSP competencies for each GC scholar. A well-connected program of study would be one where development of a single GCSP competency is explicitly linked to development of one or more of the other competencies. For example, interning at an organization and/or performing research on global health or clean energy may allow a student to simultaneously develop talent, multicultural, and social consciousness competencies. It is expected that awareness of unintended consequences, responsible conduct of

research, and professional ethics are integral parts in developing all five competencies.

4. *Programmatic and Individual Student Assessment.*

*Objective:* To ensure consistency of institutional programs with the GCSP core principles and ideas set forth by NAE; as well, to ensure alignment of individual student's development with the goals and objectives of individual institutional GCSPs. To this end, each program must develop both programmatic and individual student assessment and student tracking plans that are consistent with the goals, objectives, and context of each institution, while also staying true to the goals and spirit of the NAE Grand Challenges Scholars Program.

5. *Institutional GCSP Governance and Sustainability.*

*Objective:* To ensure that the institutional governance plan is viable and sustainable (including financial sustainability), given the unique aspects of each individual institutional GCSP. Each institutional program must have a GCSP director; it is also recommended that each institutional GCSP has a steering committee that provides advice and programmatic oversight. Finally, it is desirable that the steering committee includes students as partners in the programmatic implementation (and, for some programs, as programmatic co-designers). The primary roles of the GCSP director and steering committee are to establish the GCSP program, recruit and select students, support and monitor programmatic and individual student progress, provide mentorship to GCSP faculty/advisors and GC scholars, approve students who have successfully completed the program, fund-raise and establish other resources for programmatic support, etc. Each program director must compile the names and accomplishments of students who receive Grand Challenges Scholar designation upon graduation and convey this information to the steering committee. Acquiring the names of GC scholars and descriptions of their accomplishments is essential for the annual report submitted by the GCSP to the NAE. Cooperation of the institutional GCSP committees is also sought in longitudinal tracking of Grand Challenges Scholars. The recruitment and selection of the director and/or the organization of this committee, as well as the duration of their tenure, are at the institution's discretion.

In terms of financial sustainability, there is no specific funding level required by the national GCSP, however, each institutional program must create a plan for supporting various activities and experiences (e.g., study abroad; travel to national GCSP events; student engagement in research, service or entrepreneurship activities; on-campus events for students; participation in annual national GCSP events, course release or stipend for the GCSP director and/or active faculty; food costs for GCSP meetings and events; guest speaker travel expenses and honoraria; printing expenses to market the GCSP, etc.)

6. *Mentorship for GCSP Faculty and Students.*

*Objective:* To design and implement viable and sustainable practices of mentoring GCSP-affiliated faculty and students. It is recommended that each institution establishes practices that support development of GCSP-affiliated faculty and advisors; this mentorship may include education about GCs and GCSP, conversations about holistic educational practices that stretch beyond formal curricula and include informal spaces, attendance of the annual GCSP meetings in Washington D.C., etc. It is also important that each student works with a GC advisor to support their development as a GC scholar, to guide their progress, and to ensure thematic continuity and connectivity. To this end, each program must be intentional about the ways in which students are supported both through the process of application to GCSP and while in the program as well as how faculty mentors are assigned, including specific responsibilities to which mentors must commit.

7. Student Recognition.

*Objective:* To establish institutional practices of recognizing and celebrating students who have earned a GC Scholar status. Institutions approved for the GCSP designation may display the National Academy of Engineering Grand Challenge Scholar logo on their web site, official publications and press releases. Students who successfully complete their GCSP requirements, as certified by their home institution, will receive a Grand Challenges Scholar letter from the President of the National Academy of Engineering and be included in the annual NAE Grand Challenges Scholar press release and web listing of all scholars. Specific institutions often add additional recognitions, such as a designation on the student's transcript, permitting students to wear a medallion as part of their regalia at graduation, special receptions or events to recognize scholars and their achievements, etc.

D. Unique Aspects.

As appropriate, proposals should describe any unique aspects of proposed institutional program that allow for understanding of the context in which the program is designed and implemented. For example, will non-engineering students be recruited into the program? Will accepted students receive scholarships? Will they participate in an on-campus program such as an honors, student ambassador or other special program? In order for students to complete one or more aspects of your program, will you be partnering with EPICS, Engineers Without Borders, University Innovation Fellows, Habitat for Humanity, senior design, freshman engineering, study abroad, service learning, tutoring, or other local organizations or programs? Do you plan to target non-honors students, honors students or some other specific groups?

E. Other.

If applicable, describe any other salient aspect of your program not included above.

Approved institutional GCSPs can be viewed at [www.nae.edu/gcsp](http://www.nae.edu/gcsp). Submit your proposal to Katie Evans ([kevans@latech.edu](mailto:kevans@latech.edu)) or Rama Ramakrishna ([rramakrishna@nae.edu](mailto:rramakrishna@nae.edu)) for distribution to and review by the GCSP Proposal Review Committee.

#### **PART IV: NAE GCSP Proposal Review Committee**

The current National Academy of Engineering Grand Challenges Scholars Program Proposal Review Committee includes:

Katie Evans  
Associate Dean of Strategic Initiatives  
Director, Integrated STEM Education Research Center  
Professor of Mathematics  
Louisiana Tech University  
[kevans@latech.edu](mailto:kevans@latech.edu)  
(318) 257-3011

Keith Buffinton  
Professor of Mechanical Engineering  
Bucknell University  
[buffintk@bucknell.edu](mailto:buffintk@bucknell.edu)  
(570) 577-1581

Ted Endreny  
Professor of Environmental Resources Engineering  
State University of New York  
[te@esf.edu](mailto:te@esf.edu)  
(315) 470-6565

Jie Liu  
Research Scientist, Institute of Water Sciences  
Peking University  
[jie.liu@pku.edu.cn](mailto:jie.liu@pku.edu.cn)  
86-10-82529073

Muhammad Kehnemouyi  
Dean, College of Science, Engineering & Technology  
Montgomery College  
[muhammad.kehnmouyi@montgomerycollege.edu](mailto:muhammad.kehnmouyi@montgomerycollege.edu)  
(240) 567-4406

Satesh Narayana Namasivayam  
Head, School of Engineering  
Taylor's University, Malaysia  
[Sateshnarayana.namasivayam@Taylors.edu.my](mailto:Sateshnarayana.namasivayam@Taylors.edu.my)

David Parish  
Assistant Dean, College of Engineering  
North Carolina State University  
[David\\_parish@ncsu.edu](mailto:David_parish@ncsu.edu)  
(919) 515-3263

Amy Trowbridge  
Director, Fulton GCSP  
Arizona State University  
[Amy.Trowbridge@asu.edu](mailto:Amy.Trowbridge@asu.edu)  
(480) 965-4830

Christina White  
Former Director GCSP  
University of Texas at Austin  
[christinakwhite@utexas.edu](mailto:christinakwhite@utexas.edu)  
(512) 471-4975

Edwin Koh Chan Yang  
Director, GCSP  
National University of Singapore  
[edwin.koh@nus.edu.sg](mailto:edwin.koh@nus.edu.sg)  
(65) 6601 1657

Louise Yates  
Senior Associate Dean for Admissions and Student Affairs  
Viterbi School of Engineering  
University of Southern California  
[yates@usc.edu](mailto:yates@usc.edu)  
(213) 740-4530

Yevgeniya V. Zastavker  
Associate Professor  
Olin College of Engineering  
[yzastavker@olin.edu](mailto:yzastavker@olin.edu)  
(781) 292-2520

Please feel free to contact any of the Proposal Review Committee members for further information.